

AF



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,359	07/14/2003	Penny J. Stocker	G0307.70020US00	8240

7590 01/19/2006

John R. Van Amsterdam, Ph.D.  
Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C.  
600 Atlantic Avenue  
Boston, MA 02210

EXAMINER

RAO, MANJUNATH N

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1652

DATE MAILED: 01/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/619,359

Applicant(s)

STOCKER ET AL

Examiner

Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D.

Art Unit

1652

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 December 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 5-10, 12 and 14-38 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 5-10, 12, 14-38 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 5-10, 12, 14-38 are currently pending in this application.

#### ***Election/Restrictions***

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 5-6, 9 drawn to isolated human P-glycoprotein, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- II. Claims 7-8, drawn to isolated dog P-glycoprotein, classified in class 435, subclass 350.
- III. Claims 10, 12, 14, drawn to polynucleotide, vector and a host cell, classified in class 536, subclass 23.5.
- IV. Claims 15-18, drawn to a binding agent which binds the polypeptide, classified in class 514, subclass 789. (Please note that claim 16 is drawn to a method of claim 15. However, Examiner assumes that this is a typographical error and has included the claim in the above group drawn to binding agent)
- V. Claim 19-20, drawn to a binding agent which binds the polynucleotide, classified in class 514, subclass 789.
- VI. Claims 21-24, drawn to a method for predicting bioavailability of a compound using the elected polypeptide, classified in class 436, subclass 87.
- VII. Claim 25, drawn to a method for inhibiting P-glycoprotein transported activity using the binding agent, classified in class 435, subclass 4.

Art Unit: 1652

- VIII. Claims 26-28, drawn to a method for increasing the bioavailability of a drug in a subject using the binding agent, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
- IX. Claim 29, drawn to a method for increasing P-glycoprotein transported activity in a cell by contacting the polynucleotide encoding a P-glycoprotein, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
- X. Claims 30-31, drawn to a method for identifying lead compounds for disease treatment, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
- XI. Claims 32-34, drawn to a method for identifying compounds which selectively binds to P-glycoprotein, classified in class 514, subclass 789.
- XII. Claims 35-36, drawn to a method for determining ATPase activity of a P-glycoprotein, classified in class 435, subclass 18.
- XIII. Claim 37-38, drawn to a method for determining transmembrane transport of a compound, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II, III, IV, V are patentably distinct from each other. The polypeptides of groups I, II, the polynucleotides of group III, and the binding agents of group IV and V each comprise amino acid sequences and nucleotide sequences and compounds whose chemical structure is unknown. The compounds are chemically unrelated, do not require each other for practice; have separate utilities, such as use of the group I, II polypeptides to raise specific antibodies versus the use of polynucleotides in a hybridization reaction versus the use of binding agents in controlling the expression of a polynucleotide or the activity of the polypeptide and are subject to

Art Unit: 1652

separate manufacture and sale. The groups have acquired separate status in the art and separate fields of search.

Inventions VI through XIII are patentably distinct from each other. These are different methods with separate steps, separate requirements and having different outcomes. They are subject to separate manufacture and sale. The groups have acquired separate status in the art and separate fields of search.

Inventions I or II and inventions VI, X-XIII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptides can be used to raise specific antibodies as opposed to its use in the methods of groups VI, or X through XIII.

Inventions I or II and inventions VII-IX are distinct from each other. Inventions I or II are neither used nor made in any of the method of groups VII-IX. They are subject to separate manufacture and sale. The groups have acquired separate status in the art and separate fields of search.

Invention III and invention IX are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for

Art Unit: 1652

using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polynucleotides can be used in a hybridization reaction to identify specific polynucleotides as opposed to its use in the method of group IX.

Invention III and inventions VI-VIII, X-XIII are distinct from each other. Invention III is neither used nor made in any of the method of groups VI-VIII, X-XIII. They are subject to separate manufacture and sale. The groups have acquired separate status in the art and separate fields of search.

Inventions IV or V and inventions VII, VIII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the binding agents of polypeptide or polynucleotide can be used to affinity purify either the polypeptide or the polynucleotide as opposed to its use in the methods of groups VII, VIII.

Inventions IV or V and inventions VI, IX-XIII are distinct from each other. Invention IV or V is neither used nor made in any of the method of groups VI, IX-XIII. They are subject to separate manufacture and sale. The groups have acquired separate status in the art and separate fields of search.

Art Unit: 1652

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).


#### ***Rejoinder of restricted inventions***

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. **Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112.** Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process

Art Unit: 1652

claims may be maintained. **Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined.** See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai*, *In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to rejoin, in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of rejoinder.** Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0939. The Examiner can normally be reached on 7.00 a.m. to 3.30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300 for regular communications and for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.



Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D.  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 1652

January 9, 2006